

Total Population 809,500

The Military Family Research Institute (MFRI) at Purdue University collaborated with a Purdue University Masters of Public Health student intern to provide a public health-focused snapshot of the veteran, military and civilian population within each congressional district. Data was collected from multiple sources including MFRI's Measuring Communities online data portal. The provided data will help inform district leaders of the characteristics, health and accessibility of constituents residing in their districts and support legislative initiatives.

To view congressional districts, visit www.govtrack.us/congress/members/map

49,281

Veterans

6.1% of total population

According to the Census Bureau, "veterans are men and women who have served (even for a short time), but are not currently serving, on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. People who served in the National Guard or Reserves are classified as veterans only if they were ever called or ordered to active duty, not counting the 4-6 months for initial training or yearly summer camps."

18,146
Veteran Dependents

Number of estimated dependents under 18 years old who rely on the financial support of the veteran in their household.

2,904
Military Members
0.4% of total population

Active Duty and Selected Reserve 2019

Veteran Population by Gender

Gender	District	State	National
Male	91.7%	91.2%	91.0%
Female	8.3%	8.8%	9.0%

Congressional District 1 has 307,752 total households, 44,748 or 14.5% of those are veteran households.

3,502


Military Dependents


Number of spouses, children under 18, and others who rely on service member for more than half of their financial support (based on DEERS enrollment of Active Duty and Selected Reserve in 2018).

Disability


Civilians	14.2%	
Veterans	30.2%	

Households and Children





Veteran Population by Age State 27.5% 24.6% 21.1% 16.7% 10.1% 18-34 35-54 55-64 65-74 75 years old years old years old years old years old and older















Oklahoma Congressional District 1 Health and Well-Being


Poverty, Insurance Coverage and Unemployment

	District	State	National
Civilian Poverty Rate	13.3%	15.2%	11.8%
Veteran Poverty Rate	6.6%	7.7%	6.7%
Child Poverty Rate (18 and younger)	19.2%	21.7%	18.0%
Veteran Uninsured	4.0%	4.2%	3.2%
Unemployment (Feb. 2020)	2.9%	3.2%	3.5%
Unemployment (May 2020)	13.1%	12.6%	13.3%

Those uninsured face greater barriers to care. In light of COVID-19, those living in poverty and whom are uninsured may be at a greater risk of exposure and complications from the virus.

Due to COVID-19 and the nature of the virus, unemployment rates have sharply increased between February and May of 2020. These rates may continue to be impacted over the coming months, and should be further monitored throughout the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Households on SNAP benefits



Food Desert

	Percentage	Number
Low income civilian population living a mile or more from a supermarket	28.3%	218,743

Households with Food Insecurity and Access



Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budget of needy families so they can purchase healthy foods and move towards self-sufficiency.

The standard definition of a food desert is defined as a low-income census tract with a substantial share of residents with low levels of access to retail outlets selling healthy and affordable foods. Low-access areas have at least 500 persons and/or at least 33 percent of the population lives more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store. Residents with a lack of access to adequate food sources have been shown to have poorer health outcomes. Living in a food desert creates a health disparity among residents that impacts quality-of-life and overall health.

References

AARP Livability Index - Great Neighborhoods for All Ages. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://livabilityindex.aarp.org/

Explore Census Data. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Measuring Communities. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://measuringcommunities.org/

Purdue Center for Regional Development. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.pcrd.purdue.edu/signature-programs/digital-divide-index.php
USDA ERS - Food Access Research Atlas. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-access-research-atlas/

USDA ERS - Rural-Urban Continuum Codes. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx

Rural-Urban Continuum

According to the Rural-Urban Continuum, Washington County in Congressional District 1 is defined as rural.

Codes are determined on a 1 to 9 scale, 1 being the least rural and 9 being the most rural. Rural areas often have a higher poverty rate when comparing to their urban counterparts. Poverty in rural areas may contribute to poor housing conditions and health among residents.

Digital Divide Index Range

District

State

16.38-26.08 15.41-51.27

In Congressional District 1, there are no areas of concern. The digital divide index is a value ranging from 0 to 100, 100 indicating the highest digital divide. A higher digital divide score is associated with limited broadband infrastructure, digital literacy, and exposure to technology benefits. In areas with higher digital divide scores, individuals may face barriers that limit their ability to obtain health information, stay informed, access online education, or secure employment options.

According to the Purdue Center for Regional Development, a digital divide score of 30 and above presents significant socioeconomic, broadband infrastructure, and adoption challenges that may limit motivation, skills, and usage of technology.

AARP Livability Index Range

District

State

44-47

31-53

In Congressional District 1, the counties of Creek, Rogers, Tulsa, Wagoner, and Washington have livability scores that are below average. This indicates that these counties have scored lower on one or more of the measures that are used to assess community livability. The AARP Livability Index takes a holistic approach measuring housing, neighborhood, transportation, environment, health, engagement, and opportunity. Each metric is scored on a measure of 0 to 100, 0 being the lowest and 100 being the highest. Because health is deeply related to quality of life, higher livability scores are associated with healthier communities due to an increased access to health-related resources and quality healthcare.



Total Population 747,337 The Military Family Research Institute (MFRI) at Purdue University collaborated with a Purdue University Masters of Public Health student intern to provide a public health-focused snapshot of the veteran, military and civilian population within each congressional district. Data was collected from multiple sources including MFRI's Measuring Communities online data portal. The provided data will help inform district leaders of the characteristics, health and accessibility of constituents residing in their districts and support legislative initiatives. To view congressional districts, visit www.govtrack.us/congress/members/map

54,153

Veterans

7.2% of total population

According to the Census Bureau, "veterans are men and women who have served (even for a short time), but are not currently serving, on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. People who served in the National Guard or Reserves are classified as veterans only if they were ever called or ordered to active duty, not counting the 4-6 months for initial training or yearly summer camps."

18,379 **Veteran Dependents**

Number of estimated dependents under 18 years old who rely on the financial support of the veteran in their household.

2,226 **Military Members** 0.3% of total population

Active Duty and Selected Reserve 2019

Veteran Population by Gender

Gender	District	State	National
Male	92.5%	91.2%	91.0%
Female	6.5%	8.8%	9.0%



Congressional District 2 has 283,965 total households, 50,800 or 17.9% of those are veteran households.

2,512


Military Dependents

Number of spouses, children under 18, and others who rely on service member for more than half of their financial support (based on DEERS enrollment of Active Duty and Selected Reserve in 2018).

Disability

Civilians	20.9%
Veterans	34.2%

Households and Children



District 30.2% 25.8% 20.4% 15.8% 7.8% 75 years old

55-64


years old

65-74

years old

Veteran Population by Age State 27.5% 24.6% 21.1% 16.7% 10.1% 18-34 35-54 55-64 65-74 75 years old


years old



FIND OUT MORE ONLINE

35-54

years old



18-34

years old



and older



years old

years old



years old

and older





Oklahoma Congressional District 2 Health and Well-Being

Poverty, Insurance Coverage and Unemployment

	District	State	National
Civilian Poverty Rate	19.8%	15.2%	11.8%
Veteran Poverty Rate	9.4%	7.7%	6.7%
Child Poverty Rate (18 and younger)	26.4%	21.7%	18.0%
Veteran Uninsured	4.3%	4.2%	3.2%
Unemployment (Feb. 2020)	3.8%	3.2%	3.5%
Unemployment (May 2020)	12.3%	12.6%	13.3%

Those uninsured face greater barriers to care. In light of COVID-19, those living in poverty and whom are uninsured may be at a greater risk of exposure and complications from the virus.

Due to COVID-19 and the nature of the virus, unemployment rates have sharply increased between February and May of 2020. These rates may continue to be impacted over the coming months, and should be further monitored throughout the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Households on SNAP benefits



Food Desert

	Percentage	Number	
Low income civilian population living a mile or more from a supermarket	22.7%	170,469	-

Households with Food Insecurity and Access



Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budget of needy families so they can purchase healthy foods and move towards self-sufficiency.

The standard definition of a food desert is defined as a low-income census tract with a substantial share of residents with low levels of access to retail outlets selling healthy and affordable foods. Low-access areas have at least 500 persons and/or at least 33 percent of the population lives more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store. Residents with a lack of access to adequate food sources have been shown to have poorer health outcomes. Living in a food desert creates a health disparity among residents that impacts quality-of-life and overall health.

References

AARP Livability Index - Great Neighborhoods for All Ages. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://livabilityindex.aarp.org/

Explore Census Data. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Measuring Communities. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://measuringcommunities.org/

Purdue Center for Regional Development. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.pcrd.purdue.edu/signature-programs/digital-divide-index.php
USDA ERS - Food Access Research Atlas. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-access-research-atlas/
USDA ERS - Rural-Urban Continuum Codes. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx

Rural-Urban Continuum

According to the Rural-Urban Continuum, the counties of Adair, Atoka, Bryan, Cherokee, Choctaw, Coal, Craig, Delaware, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, Marshall, Mayes, McCurtin, McIntosh, Muskogee, Nowata, Okfuskee, Ottawa, Pittsburg, and Pushmataha in Congressional District 2 are defined as rural.

Codes are determined on a 1 to 9 scale, 1 being the least rural and 9 being the most rural. Rural areas often have a higher poverty rate when comparing to their urban counterparts. Poverty in rural areas may contribute to poor housing conditions and health among residents.

Digital Divide Index Range

District State

20.31-51.27 15.41-51.27

In Congressional District 2, areas of concern include the counties of Adair, Atoka, Bryan, Cherokee, Choctaw, Coal, Delaware, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, Le Flore, McCurtin, McIntosh, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pushmataha, and Sequoyah. The digital divide index is a value ranging from 0 to 100, 100 indicating the highest digital divide. A higher digital divide score is associated with limited broadband infrastructure, digital literacy, and exposure to technology benefits. In areas with higher digital divide scores, individuals may face barriers that limit their ability to obtain health information, stay informed, access online education, or secure employment options.

According to the Purdue Center for Regional Development, a digital divide score of 30 and above presents significant socioeconomic, broadband infrastructure, and adoption challenges that may limit motivation, skills, and usage of technology.

AARP Livability Index Range

District State 31-49 31-53

In Congressional District 2, the counties of Adair, Atoka, Bryan, Cherokee, Choctaw, Coal, Craig, Delaware, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, Le Flore, Marshall, Mayes, McCurtain, McIntosh, Muskogee, Nowata, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Ottawa, Pittsburg, Pushmataha, Rogers, and Sequoyah have livability scores that are below average. This indicates that these counties have scored lower on one or more of the measures that are used to assess community livability. The AARP Livability Index takes a holistic approach measuring housing, neighborhood, transportation, environment, health, engagement, and opportunity. Each metric is scored on a measure of 0 to 100, 0 being the lowest and 100 being the highest. Because health is deeply related to quality of life, higher livability scores are associated with healthier communities due to an increased access to health-related resources and quality healthcare.



Total Population 782,091 The Military Family Research Institute (MFRI) at Purdue University collaborated with a Purdue University Masters of Public Health student intern to provide a public health-focused snapshot of the veteran, military and civilian population within each congressional district. Data was collected from multiple sources including MFRI's Measuring Communities online data portal. The provided data will help inform district leaders of the characteristics, health and accessibility of constituents residing in their districts and support legislative initiatives. To view congressional districts, visit www.govtrack.us/congress/members/map

48,808

Veterans

6.2% of total population

According to the Census Bureau, "veterans are men and women who have served (even for a short time), but are not currently serving, on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. People who served in the National Guard or Reserves are classified as veterans only if they were ever called or ordered to active duty, not counting the 4-6 months for initial training or yearly summer camps."

20,271 **Veteran Dependents**

Number of estimated dependents under 18 years old who rely on the financial support of the veteran in their household.

5,835 **Military Members** 0.7% of total population

Active Duty and Selected Reserve 2019

Veteran Population by Gender

Gender	District	State	National
Male	92.9%	91.2%	91.0%
Female	7.1%	8.8%	9.0%

Congressional District 3 has 283,366 total households, 46,817 or 16.5% of those are veteran households.

6,913


Military Dependents


Number of spouses, children under 18, and others who rely on service member for more than half of their financial support (based on DEERS enrollment of Active Duty and Selected Reserve in 2018).

Disability


Civilians	15.9%	
Veterans	31.8%	

Households and Children





Veteran Population by Age State 27.5% 24.6% 21.1% 16.7% 10.1% 18-34 35-54 55-64 65-74 75 years old years old years old years old years old and older















Oklahoma Congressional District 3 Health and Well-Being

Poverty, Insurance Coverage and Unemployment

	District	State	National
Civilian Poverty Rate	15.1%	15.2%	11.8%
Veteran Poverty Rate	7.8%	7.7%	6.7%
Child Poverty Rate (18 and younger)	18.3%	21.7%	18.0%
Veteran Uninsured	4.2%	4.2%	3.2%
Unemployment (Feb. 2020)	2.9%	3.2%	3.5%
Unemployment (May 2020)	11.0%	12.6%	13.3%

Those uninsured face greater barriers to care. In light of COVID-19, those living in poverty and whom are uninsured may be at a greater risk of exposure and complications from the virus.

Due to COVID-19 and the nature of the virus, unemployment rates have sharply increased between February and May of 2020. These rates may continue to be impacted over the coming months, and should be further monitored throughout the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Households on SNAP benefits



Food Desert

	Percentage	Number	
Low income civilian population living a mile or more from a supermarket	32%	245,598	

Households with Food Insecurity and Access



Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budget of needy families so they can purchase healthy foods and move towards self-sufficiency.

The standard definition of a food desert is defined as a low-income census tract with a substantial share of residents with low levels of access to retail outlets selling healthy and affordable foods. Low-access areas have at least 500 persons and/or at least 33 percent of the population lives more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store. Residents with a lack of access to adequate food sources have been shown to have poorer health outcomes. Living in a food desert creates a health disparity among residents that impacts quality-of-life and overall health.

References

AARP Livability Index - Great Neighborhoods for All Ages. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://livabilityindex.aarp.org/

Explore Census Data. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Measuring Communities. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://measuringcommunities.org/

Purdue Center for Regional Development. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.pcrd.purdue.edu/signature-programs/digital-divide-index.php
USDA ERS - Food Access Research Atlas. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-access-research-atlas/
USDA ERS - Rural-Urban Continuum Codes. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx

Rural-Urban Continuum

According to the Rural-Urban Continuum, the counties of Alfalfa, Beaver, Beckham, Blaine, Caddo, Cimarron, Custer, Dewey, Ellis, Garfield, Grant, Greer, Harmon, Harper, Jackson, Kay, Kingfisher, Kiowa, Major, Noble, Payne, Roger Mills, Texas, Washita, and Woods in Congressional District 3 are defined as rural.

Codes are determined on a 1 to 9 scale, 1 being the least rural and 9 being the most rural. Rural areas often have a higher poverty rate when comparing to their urban counterparts. Poverty in rural areas may contribute to poor housing conditions and health among residents.

Digital Divide Index Range

District State

15.41-41.31 15.41-51.27

In Congressional District 3, areas of concern include the counties of Blaine, Caddo, Cimarron, Dewey, Ellis, Grant, Greer, Harmon, Harper, Kiowa, Lincoln, Major, Noble, Osage, Pawnee, Roger Mills, and Woodword. The digital divide index is a value ranging from 0 to 100, 100 indicating the highest digital divide. A higher digital divide score is associated with limited broadband infrastructure, digital literacy, and exposure to technology benefits. In areas with higher digital divide scores, individuals may face barriers that limit their ability to obtain health information, stay informed, access online education, or secure employment options.

According to the Purdue Center for Regional Development, a digital divide score of 30 and above presents significant socioeconomic, broadband infrastructure, and adoption challenges that may limit motivation, skills, and usage of technology.

AARP Livability Index Range

District State 37-53 31-53

In Congressional District 3, the counties of Blaine, Caddo, Cimarron, Dewey, Ellis, Grant, Greer, Harmon, Harper, Kiowa, Lincoln, Major, Noble, Osage, Pawnee, Roger Mills, and Woodward have livability scores that are below average. This indicates that these counties have scored lower on one or more of the measures that are used to assess community livability. The AARP Livability Index takes a holistic approach measuring housing, neighborhood, transportation, environment, health, engagement, and opportunity. Each metric is scored on a measure of 0 to 100, 0 being the lowest and 100 being the highest. Because health is deeply related to quality of life, higher livability scores are associated with healthier communities due to an increased access to health-related resources and quality healthcare.



Total Population 792,928

The Military Family Research Institute (MFRI) at Purdue University collaborated with a Purdue University Masters of Public Health student intern to provide a public health-focused snapshot of the veteran, military and civilian population within each congressional district. Data was collected from multiple sources including MFRI's Measuring Communities online data portal. The provided data will help inform district leaders of the characteristics, health and accessibility of constituents residing in their districts and support legislative initiatives.

To view congressional districts, visit www.govtrack.us/congress/members/map

63,887

Veterans

8.1% of total population

According to the Census Bureau, "veterans are men and women who have served (even for a short time), but are not currently serving, on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. People who served in the National Guard or Reserves are classified as veterans only if they were ever called or ordered to active duty, not counting the 4-6 months for initial training or yearly summer camps."

25,509 Veteran Dependents

Number of estimated dependents under 18 years old who rely on the financial support of the veteran in their household.

13,357
Military Members
1.7% of total population

Active Duty and Selected Reserve 2019

Veteran Population by Gender

Gender	District	State	National
Male	87.5%	91.2%	91.0%
Female	12.5%	8,8%	9.0%



Congressional District 4 has 290,296 total households, 56,753 or 19.6% of those are veteran households.

19,309


Military Dependents


Number of spouses, children under 18, and others who rely on service member for more than half of their financial support (based on DEERS enrollment of Active Duty and Selected Reserve in 2018).


Disability


Civilians		16.8%	
_	Veterans	38.2%	


Households and Children





















Oklahoma Congressional District 4 Health and Well-Being

Poverty, Insurance Coverage and Unemployment

	District	State	National
Civilian Poverty Rate	12.5%	15.2%	11.8%
Veteran Poverty Rate	6.7%	7.7%	6.7%
Child Poverty Rate (18 and younger)	16.7%	21.7%	18.0%
Veteran Uninsured	4.7%	4.2%	3.2%
Unemployment (Feb. 2020)	2.8%	3.2%	3.5%
Unemployment (May 2020)	13.1%	12.6%	13.3%

Those uninsured face greater barriers to care. In light of COVID-19, those living in poverty and whom are uninsured may be at a greater risk of exposure and complications from the virus.

Due to COVID-19 and the nature of the virus, unemployment rates have sharply increased between February and May of 2020. These rates may continue to be impacted over the coming months, and should be further monitored throughout the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Households on SNAP benefits



Food Desert

	Percentage	Number
Low income civilian population living a mile or more from a supermarket	25.8%	199,697

Households with Food Insecurity and Access



Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budget of needy families so they can purchase healthy foods and move towards self-sufficiency.

The standard definition of a food desert is defined as a low-income census tract with a substantial share of residents with low levels of access to retail outlets selling healthy and affordable foods. Low-access areas have at least 500 persons and/or at least 33 percent of the population lives more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store. Residents with a lack of access to adequate food sources have been shown to have poorer health outcomes. Living in a food desert creates a health disparity among residents that impacts quality-of-life and overall health.

References

AARP Livability Index - Great Neighborhoods for All Ages. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://livabilityindex.aarp.org/

Explore Census Data. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Measuring Communities. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://measuringcommunities.org/

Purdue Center for Regional Development. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.pcrd.purdue.edu/signature-programs/digital-divide-index.php
USDA ERS - Food Access Research Atlas. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-access-research-atlas/
USDA ERS - Rural-Urban Continuum Codes. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx

Rural-Urban Continuum

According to the Rural-Urban Continuum, the counties of Carter, Gavin, Jefferson, Love, Murray, Pontotoc, Stephens, and Tillman in Congressional District 4 are defined as rural.

Codes are determined on a 1 to 9 scale, 1 being the least rural and 9 being the most rural. Rural areas often have a higher poverty rate when comparing to their urban counterparts. Poverty in rural areas may contribute to poor housing conditions and health among residents.

Digital Divide Index Range

District State

17.38-42.70 15.41-51.27

In Congressional District 4, areas of concern include the counties of Comanche, Cotton, Garvin, Jefferson, Love, Murray, and Tillman. The digital divide index is a value ranging from 0 to 100, 100 indicating the highest digital divide. A higher digital divide score is associated with limited broadband infrastructure, digital literacy, and exposure to technology benefits. In areas with higher digital divide scores, individuals may face barriers that limit their ability to obtain health information, stay informed, access online education, or secure employment options.

According to the Purdue Center for Regional Development, a digital divide score of 30 and above presents significant socioeconomic, broadband infrastructure, and adoption challenges that may limit motivation, skills, and usage of technology.

AARP Livability Index Range

District State

36-51 31-53

In Congressional District 4, the counties of Carter, Cleveland, Comanche, Cotton, Garvin, Grady, Jefferson, Love, Murray, Oklahoma, Pontotoc, Stephans, and Tillman have livability scores that are below average. This indicates that these counties have scored lower on one or more of the measures used to assess community livability. The AARP Livability Index takes a holistic approach measuring housing, neighborhood, transportation, environment, health, engagement, and opportunity. Each metric is scored on a measure of 0 to 100, 0 being the lowest and 100 being the highest. Because health is deeply related to quality of life, higher livability scores are associated with healthier communities due to an increased access to health-related resources and quality healthcare.



Total Population **825,115**

The Military Family Research Institute (MFRI) at Purdue University collaborated with a Purdue University Masters of Public Health student intern to provide a public health-focused snapshot of the veteran, military and civilian population within each congressional district. Data was collected from multiple sources including MFRI's Measuring Communities online data portal. The provided data will help inform district leaders of the characteristics, health and accessibility of constituents residing in their districts and support legislative initiatives.

To view congressional districts, visit www.govtrack.us/congress/members/map

51,336

Veterans

6.2% of total population

According to the Census Bureau, "veterans are men and women who have served (even for a short time), but are not currently serving, on active duty in the U.S. Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, or the Coast Guard, or who served in the U.S. Merchant Marine during World War II. People who served in the National Guard or Reserves are classified as veterans only if they were ever called or ordered to active duty, not counting the 4-6 months for initial training or yearly summer camps."

18,802

Veteran Dependents

Number of estimated dependents under 18 years old who rely on the financial support of the veteran in their household.

8,031
Military Members
1% of total population

1% of total population
Active Duty and Selected Reserve 2019

Veteran Population by Gender

Gender	District	State	National
Male	91.4%	91.2%	91.0%
Female	8.6%	8.8%	9.0%

Congressional District 5 has **309,244** total households, **47,042** or **15.2%** of those are veteran households.

9,891


Military Dependents


Number of spouses, children under 18, and others who rely on service member for more than half of their financial support (based on DEERS enrollment of Active Duty and Selected Reserve in 2018).

Disability


Civilians		14.1%	
	Veterans	34.9%	

Households and Children





Veteran Population by Age State 27.5% 24.6% 21.1% 16.7% 10.1% 18-34 35-54 55-64 65-74 75 years old years old years old years old years old and older















Oklahoma Congressional District 5 Health and Well-Being


Poverty, Insurance Coverage and Unemployment

	District	State	National
Civilian Poverty Rate	15.5%	15.2%	11.8%
Veteran Poverty Rate	8.1%	7.7%	6.7%
Child Poverty Rate (18 and younger)	27.4%	21.7%	18.0%
Veteran Uninsured	3.5%	4.2%	3.2%
Unemployment (Feb. 2020)	2.8%	3.2%	3.5%
Unemployment (May 2020)	13.2%	12.6%	13.3%

Those uninsured face greater barriers to care. In light of COVID-19, those living in poverty and whom are uninsured may be at a greater risk of exposure and complications from the virus.

Due to COVID-19 and the nature of the virus, unemployment rates have sharply increased between February and May of 2020. These rates may continue to be impacted over the coming months, and should be further monitored throughout the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Households on SNAP benefits



Food Desert

	Percentage	Number
Low income civilian population living a mile or more from a supermarket	20.3%	158,909

Households with Food Insecurity and Access



Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) provides nutrition benefits to supplement the food budget of needy families so they can purchase healthy foods and move towards self-sufficiency.

The standard definition of a food desert is defined as a low-income census tract with a substantial share of residents with low levels of access to retail outlets selling healthy and affordable foods. Low-access areas have at least 500 persons and/or at least 33 percent of the population lives more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store. Residents with a lack of access to adequate food sources have been shown to have poorer health outcomes. Living in a food desert creates a health disparity among residents that impacts quality-of-life and overall health.

References

AARP Livability Index - Great Neighborhoods for All Ages. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://livabilityindex.aarp.org/

Explore Census Data. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Measuring Communities. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://measuringcommunities.org/

Purdue Center for Regional Development. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.pcrd.purdue.edu/signature-programs/digital-divide-index.php USDA ERS - Food Access Research Atlas. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-access-research-atlas/ USDA ERS - Rural-Urban Continuum Codes. (n.d.). Retrieved July 9, 2020, from https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/rural-urban-continuum-codes.aspx

Rural-Urban Continuum

According to the Rural-Urban Continuum, the counties of Pottawatomie and Seminole in Congressional District 5 are defined as rural.

Codes are determined on a 1 to 9 scale, 1 being the least rural and 9 being the most rural. Rural areas often have a higher poverty rate when comparing to their urban counterparts. Poverty in rural areas may contribute to poor housing conditions and health among residents.

Digital Divide Index Range

District State

17.38-42.02 15.41-51.27

In Congressional District 5, the counties of Pottawatomie and Seminole are areas of concern. The digital divide index is a value ranging from 0 to 100, 100 indicating the highest digital divide. A higher digital divide score is associated with limited broadband infrastructure, digital literacy, and exposure to technology benefits. In areas with higher digital divide scores, individuals may face barriers that limit their ability to obtain health information, stay informed, access online education, or secure employment options.

According to the Purdue Center for Regional Development, a digital divide score of 30 and above presents significant socioeconomic, broadband infrastructure, and adoption challenges that may limit motivation, skills, and usage of technology.

AARP Livability Index Range

District State 31-53 41-49

In Congressional District 5, the counties of Pottawatomie, Seminole, and Oklahoma have livability scores that are below average. This indicates that these counties have scored lower on one or more of the measures that are used to assess community livability. The AARP Livability Index takes a holistic approach measuring housing, neighborhood, transportation, environment, health, engagement, and opportunity. Each metric is scored on a measure of 0 to 100, 0 being the lowest and 100 being the highest. Because health is deeply related to quality of life, higher livability scores are associated with healthier communities due to an increased access to health-related resources and quality healthcare.